State University of New York at Stony Brook Stony Brook, New York 11794

StonyBrook

Department of Physics telephone: (516) 246-6580

December 28, 1979

Professor D. Cutts Physics Department Brown University Providence, RI 02912

Dear Friends of LAPDOG/EAGER/LAUGHS:

This is a reminder of our discussion last time and a suggestion for an agenda of our next meeting.

At the December 19 meeting, there were several reports on specific areas which raised a few new questions to be examined by our next session:

1) The open dipole magnet was estimated at just under \$1 M for an axial field volume of $(8 \times 8 \times 2^{\frac{1}{2}})$ ft³. This price is not too certain and needs a little more detailed study. Several questions were raised which indicate the need for understanding how possible modifications would effect the magnet cost and feasibility. Included in these are:

a) The nature of the fringe field in such a magnet; b) The possibility

of modifying the gap shape to maximize the useful field region

(for example pole-tip tapering as indicated on the sketch)

c) How much can such a magnet be opened up to increase solid

angle and d) What is the maximum field strength one can envision?

- 2) Muon detection: Over what angular range is muon identification feasible? Are muon signs determined unambiguously over all momenta? Is there a case for slots in the returniron to aid in muon identification? Can some scheme for μ focussing be devised that might allow stopping μ (polarization) studies to be pursued?
- 3) Shower detectors: The possibility of dissolving Pb salts in mineral oil was raised as a cheap alterantive to Pb-glass. We should pursue this technique with tests this spring.

Marx and Gibband proposed an alternative to the open dipole field which employs a smaller, cheaper solenoid. This scheme has many interesting features and we felt that it should percolate until our next meeting. At that time however, I feel we should attempt